

Summary Evaluation Score Sheet RFP 24-0505B Utilities Department Electrical Installation and Maintenance Repair Services

Summary

		84	88	87	90	78	90	
		Baker Electric Inc	Bhelectric, Inc	Big Sky Electric, Inc	Center Electric Services, Inc	McDonald Electric, Inc	Western Construction Specialists Inc	
1	Completeness of Response (Pass/Fail)	Max Points	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	
	Responses to this RFP must be complete. Responses that do not include the proposal content requirements identified within this RFP and subsequent addenda and do not address each of the items listed below will be considered incomplete, be rated a Fail in the Evaluation Criteria and will receive no further consideration.	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	
2	Work Plan/ Work Organization	Max Points	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	
	The proposal must clearly indicate that the submitter has performed adequate planning to accomplish the operation and maintenance tasks as defined in the work statement. a) Logical and laid out in a clear and thoughtful manner b) Includes a complete plan to accomplish the requirements, including sub-contracting c) Demonstrates that appropriate personnel and equipment will be positioned efficiently to fulfill requirements d) Innovative approach and ideas, specific methods and techniques utilized by contractor e) Considerate of time constraints within individual call-outs f) Meets all applicable guidelines as set forth in this RFP	25	21	22	22	23	19	22
3	Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience)	Max Points	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	
	Category is rated based on the submitter's ability to clearly identify the following: a) Strength and stability of the firm and any sub-contractors b) Data on the level of experience in specific contracts of this type, including performance and abilities (minimum 3 examples, in addition to listing a minimum of three (3) references c) Key personnel's level of involvement in performing related work and/or close oversight d) Adequate labor commitment e) Demonstrated knowledge of the scope of work, capability of performing specific tasks outlined in the RFP based on similar work experience f) Samples of craftsmanship and quality of work for other similar projects	25	21	21	21	23	20	23
4	Approach to Safety Measures	Max Points	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	
	This category is rated based on the submitter's ability to illustrate a clear approach to safety measures: a) Comply with OSHA and National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 70E requirements and applicable laws, ordinances, rules, regulations and orders b) Provided Injury and Illness Prevention Program (IIPP) c) No serious or willful OSHA violations within the past 5 years	25	23	23	22	23	20	24
5	Reasonableness of Cost and Price	Max Points	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	Raters Score	
	Category is rated based on the submitter's: a) Value provided b) Reasonableness of hourly rates, labor hours, and fees to perform duties in scope of work c) Reasonableness based on costs for recently completed work of similar scope and size; consider travel time if applicable d) Responsiveness to RFP and exceptions to or deviations from RFP requirements; quality of materials to be used; value of Total Price The City reserves the right to negotiate with each contractor a Best and Final Offer.	25	20	22	23	21	19	21
			84	88	87	90	78	90