



Redistricting 2021 - 2022 Second Public Hearing



www.bbklaw.com
© 2021 BESNEY, BOST & KRIEGER LLP

Corona

2021/22 Redistricting Process

AGENDA

- 01 Project timelines
- 02 Review Draft Map
- 03 Next steps and hearings

Corona Council Terms



Council Members hold 4-year terms*

- Measure N, adopted by voters in 2016, created a by-district election system
- Five districts drawn from 2010 Census data
- First district election held in 2018



City of Corona

- Districts 1, 4 and 5 will elect in 2022
- Districts 2 and 3 will elect in 2024



Redistricting Process

STEPS	DESCRIPTION
1. Public Hearing No. 1	Present an overview of the redistricting process, hearing from community on communities of interest
2. Release draft maps	Draft maps posted to project website
3. Public hearing No. 2	Review Draft maps Take public input Consider Communities of Interest
4. Initial deadline for draft maps	Deadline for the public to submit draft maps for inclusion in the next hearing packet and presentation
5. Public Hearing No. 3	Public Hearing to discuss and revise, if desired, the draft map(s)
5. Public Hearing No. 4 - Map adoption	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Map adopted via ordinance or resolution• Final map must be posted at least 7 days prior to adoption

Redistricting Rules & Goals

Federal Law

- Equal Population
- Federal Voting Rights Act
- No Racial Gerrymandering




California Criteria for Cities

- Geographically contiguous
- Geographic integrity of a local neighborhood, communities of interest
- Easily identifiable and understandable – use of local landmarks/boundary lines
- Geographically compact

Other traditional principles

- Minimize voters shifted to different election years
- Respect voters' choices / continuity in office
- Future population growth
- Preserving the core of existing districts

Existing Districts



Housing Sets



www.bbklaw.com
© 2021 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

Existing District Boundaries with 2020 Census Data

District	Total Pop	Raw Deviation	% Deviation	Latino	CVAP Total	CVAP NL White	CVAP NL Black	CVAP Hispanic	CVAP Not Hispanic	CVAP NL AIAN	CVAP NL ASIAN	CVAP NL Hawaiian	CVAP NL Other
1	30,314	-1,222	-3.87%	12,450	21,028	8,284	1,865	7,098	13,911	83	3,114	127	73
2	32,952	1,416	4.49%	19,230	20,560	7,339	1,298	9,868	10,689	66	1,324	72	79
3	30,301	-1,235	-3.92%	21,632	18,184	6,508	476	10,424	7,780	55	691	10	26
4	30,957	-579	-1.84%	10,824	24,231	11,336	1,136	7,586	16,658	58	3,400	232	145
5	33,155	1,619	5.13%	9,263	25,283	12,629	1,755	6,081	19,170	31	4,115	91	142

Total Population: 157,679 • Ideal Population: 31,536 Total Deviation: 9.05%

Findings

- Total Deviation is below the “acceptable” range at 9.05%
- Current district boundaries may be adjusted for population balance
- Creating a majority/minority district is possible. Districts 2 and 3 are already a majority/minority Hispanic voting districts



As a reminder - Preeminent Considerations

- Equal population (one person/one vote)
- Racial minority voice
- Geographically contiguous districts
- Measured objectively



www.bbklaw.com

© 2021 BESNY, BOSTON & KRIEGER LLP

Important Considerations

"Communities of Interest"

- Physical boundaries
 - Natural or artificial barriers
 - Roadways, rivers, railways
 - Parks, schools, and other landmarks
- Geographic integrity, compactness



Important Considerations

"Communities of Interest"

- Political boundaries
 - School attendance areas
 - Special district service areas
 - Federal, state, and county divisions



Important Considerations

"Communities of Interest"

- Not:
 - Incumbents' residences
 - Political affiliations



www.bbklaw.com


© 2021 BESNY, DESS & KRIEGER LLP

Draft Map Option

- As a reminder, current total deviation is below the “acceptable” range at 9.05%
- The City Council may re-adopt their existing boundaries
- BB&K prepared one draft option, at Council’s request, that was population balanced with a lower deviation



Map Option 1



Housing Sets

Option 1 with 2020 Census Data

District	Total Pop	Raw Deviation	% Deviation	Latino	CVAP Total	CVAP NL White	CVAP NL Black	CVAP Hispanic	CVAP Not Hispanic	CVAP NL AIAN	CVAP NL ASIAN	CVAP NL Hawaiian	CVAP NL Other
1	31,613	77	0.24%	12,835	22,082	8,647	1,924	7,565	14,502	83	3,282	127	73
2	32,719	1,183	3.75%	19,137	20,418	7,271	1,298	9,826	10,569	66	1,311	72	79
3	30,534	-1,002	-3.18%	21,725	18,326	6,576	476	10,466	7,900	55	704	10	26
4	30,957	-579	-1.84%	10,824	24,231	11,336	1,136	7,586	16,658	58	3,400	232	145
5	31,856	320	1.02%	8,878	24,229	12,266	1,696	5,614	18,579	31	3,947	91	142

Total Population: 157,679 • Ideal Population: 31,536 Total Deviation: 6.93%

Findings – Option 1

- Total Deviation is below the “acceptable” range at 6.93%
- Preserves the core of existing districts with minimal change in populations across districts
 - Largest population shift is from Districts 5 to 1, with no impact on election cycle (same election year)
 - Smaller population shift from Districts 3 to 2, and some from 2 to 3, with no impact on election cycle (same election year)
- Districts 2 and 3 are preserved as a majority/minority Hispanic voting districts



Public Hearing & Discussion

- Review and comment on Draft Map
- Request revisions, if desired



Sources of Information

- Demographic data
- Staff expertise
- Public input
 - The City conducted a workshop to further engage the public on January 27th
- Council input



Sources of Information

- January 27, 2022 Workshop Highlights
 - Attended by approximately 10 people
 - Online mapping tool demonstrated
 - Review of Redistricting process
 - Input received regarding the initial draft map shown at the workshop as an exemplar for the mapping tool
 - Specifically, the apartments north of Highway 91 should not be in the same district as the downtown/circle area



www.bbklaw.com

© 2021 BESNEY, BOSTON & KRIEGER LLP

Timeline & Next Steps

- Provide input on the redistricting process and communities of interest
- Evaluate any publicly submitted maps
- Return at Public Hearing #3 with any revised map(s) if applicable and any newly submitted public maps



Timeline & Next Steps

January 5, 2022	Public Hearing No. 1, Overview of redistricting process
February 2, 2022	Public Hearing No. 2 – first review of draft maps
February 5, 2022	Deadline for the public to submit draft maps for evaluation at Public Hearing No. 3.
February 16, 2022	Public Hearing No. 3 to review publicly submitted maps and consider input from workshops
March 2, 2022	Public Hearing No. 4 to discuss and select the top (3) maps for further consideration
April 17, 2022	Deadline to adopt and submit map to Registrar of Voters