



Agenda Report

File #: 19-0102

AGENDA REPORT REQUEST FOR CITY COUNCIL ACTION

DATE: 2/6/2019

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council Members

FROM: Management Services Department

SUBJECT:

Authorize award of Request for Proposals (RFP) 19-002MS - City of Corona Fire Department Operational Performance Consulting Services with Citygate Associates, LLC and appropriate \$87,873 from the General Fund.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

That the City Council:

1. Approve award of RFP 19-002MS - City of Corona Fire Department Operational Performance Consulting Services to Citygate Associates, LLC of Folsom, CA in the amount of \$87,873 pursuant to Formal Bidding Procedures for Non-Public Projects, Corona Municipal Code (CMC) 3.08.110 based upon the findings noted in this report.
2. Authorize the City Manager to execute a Professional Services Agreement with Citygate Associates, LLC of Folsom, CA in the amount of \$87,873.
3. Authorize the Purchasing Agent to issue a purchase order to Citygate Associates, LLC of Folsom, CA in the amount of \$87,873 in accordance with the duly authorized and executed agreement.
4. Authorize an appropriation of \$87,873 from the General Fund's available fund balance to the Fire Department's operating budget.
5. Authorize the City Manager and City Attorney to negotiate and execute agreement renewals and any extensions and/or amendments which are either non-substantive or are otherwise in compliance with the City Council's actions hereunder.

ANALYSIS:

On November 29, 2018, the Purchasing Division issued Request for Proposals (RFP) No. 19-002MS

for City of Corona Fire Department Operational Performance Consulting Services. The RFP was advertised in the Sentinel Weekly News on December 5, 2018 and posted on the City's website. Purchasing solicited thirty-two (32) consultants with five (5) consultants submitting proposals by the submission date, January 15, 2019. The evaluation of the proposals was based on four criteria: 1.) Work Plan/Work Organization; 2.) Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience) (Experience); 3.) Completeness and Timeliness of Response; and 4.) Reasonableness of Cost and Price. After a thorough evaluation of the submitted proposals, the City's evaluators selected the proposal and team that Citygate Associates, LLC assembled to complete this project as the most qualified consultant. Citygate Associates, LLC scored the highest score on Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience).

The City's seven-member evaluation team consisted of:

1. Chris McMasters Chief Information Officer
2. Kerry Eden Assistant City Manager/Administrative Services Director
3. Michele Nissen Assistant City Manager
4. Brian Young Fire Chief
5. George Johnstone Chief of Police
6. Ryan Rolston Fire Captain
7. John Healy Police Detective

The evaluation team carefully reviewed the three proposals. A summary of their scores is presented below:

Company	City/State	Evaluation Score
Citygate Associates, LLC.	Folsom, CA	84
Center for Public Safety Management	Washington, DC	83
Emergency Services Consulting International	Wilsonville, OR	77
Fitch & Associates	Platte City, MO	77
Matrix Consulting Group	Mountain View, CA	70

The purpose of the Public Safety Operational Performance Consulting Services RFP is to solicit submissions from consultants who demonstrate the ability to develop an organizational strategy that outlines five -year goals for the Fire Department, with more specific set of objectives and a plan for implementation of the first three years of the plan's timeframe. The City understands that there are variety of perspectives, models, and approaches that can be used to develop a strategic planning document; therefore, the selected consultant will be expected to recommend a model or model(s) that will enable the Fire Department to complete its organizational and programmatic goals while falling in line with the 2014 - 2019 Corona Strategic Plan.

COMMITTEE ACTION:

Not applicable.

STRATEGIC PLAN:

Promote Public Safety: Protect our Residents and Businesses

- a. Ensure adequate funding for fire and police services.
- b. Ensure adequate funding for facilities and equipment needed to support timely delivery of fire and police services to our community.
- c. Ensure adequate funding for investments and improvement in infrastructure that support public safety.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Approval of the recommended actions will result in an appropriation of \$87,873 to the Fire Department's General Fund operating budget for the consulting services.

GENERAL FUND		
Budget Workshop	May 23, 2018 – Estimated Revenue Over Expenditures	\$6,626,911
Previously approved budget adjustments (net) – Note 1		(5, 888,143)
Current Estimated Revenue Over Expenditures*		738,768
Appropriation – Operational Performance Services		(87,873)
Revised Estimated Revenue Over Expenditures – Note 1		\$ 650,895
Budget Balancing Measures Reserve – Estimated for 07/01/18		\$20,645,252
Estimated FY 2018 -19 Change in Budget Balancing Measures		650,895
Budget Balancing Measures Reserve – Estimated Balance 06/30/19		\$21, 296,147
*Approved through Council Action or other operational process.		

Note 1: Includes additional General Fund items on the February 6, 2019 meeting

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:

Not applicable.

PREPARED BY: SCOTT BRIGGS, PURCHASING SPECIALIST V

REVIEWED BY: CITA LONGSWORTH, PURCHASING MANAGER

REVIEWED BY: KERRY D. EDEN, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER/ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIRECTOR

REVIEWED BY: MICHELE NISSEN, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER

SUBMITTED BY: DARRELL TALBERT, CITY MANAGER

Attachments:

1. Professional Services Agreement with Citygate Associates 02/06/2019
2. Evaluation Summary
3. Request for Proposals Summary

**CITY OF CORONA
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT
WITH CITYGATE ASSOCIATES, LLC
(CONSULTING SERVICES) – (RFP NO. 19-002MS FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL
PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES)**

1. PARTIES AND DATE.

This Agreement is made and entered into this 6th day of February, 2019 (“Effective Date”) by and between the City of Corona, a municipal corporation organized under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business at 400 South Vicentia Avenue, Corona, California 92882 (“City”) and Citygate Associates, LLC., a California Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business at 2250 East Bidwell St., Ste. 100 Folsom, CA 95630 (“Consultant”). City and Consultant are sometimes individually referred to as “Party” and collectively as “Parties” in this Agreement.

2. RECITALS.

2.1 Consultant.

Consultant desires to perform and assume responsibility for the provision of certain professional services required by the City on the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement. Consultant represents that it is experienced in providing consulting services to public clients, is licensed in the State of California, and is familiar with the plans of City.

2.2 Project.

City desires to engage Consultant to render such services for the RFP NO. 19-002MS – RFP TO PERFORM FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES project (“Project”) as set forth in this Agreement.

3. TERMS.

3.1 Scope of Services and Term.

3.1.1 General Scope of Services. Consultant promises and agrees to furnish to the City all labor, materials, tools, equipment, services, and incidental and customary work necessary to fully and adequately supply the professional consulting services necessary for the Project (“Services”). The Services are more particularly described in Exhibit “A” attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. All Services shall be subject to, and performed in accordance with, this Agreement, the exhibits attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, and all applicable local, state and federal laws, rules, and regulations.

3.1.2 Term. The term of this Agreement shall be from February 6, 2019 to December 31, 2019 (“Term”), unless earlier terminated as provided herein. Consultant shall complete the Services within the Term of this Agreement and shall meet any other established schedules and deadlines. The Parties may, by mutual, written consent, extend the Term of this Agreement one or more times by executing a written amendment pursuant to Section 3.6.8 below (each a “Renewal Term. The terms “Term” and “Renewal Term” may sometimes be generally and collectively referred to as “Term” in this Agreement.

3.2 Responsibilities of Consultant.

3.2.1 Control and Payment of Subordinates; Independent Consultant. The Services shall be performed by Consultant or under its supervision. Consultant will determine the means, methods and details of performing the Services subject to the requirements of this Agreement. City retains Consultant on an independent Consultant basis and not as an employee. Consultant retains the right to perform similar or different services for others during the Term of this Agreement. Any additional personnel performing the Services under this Agreement on behalf of Consultant shall also not be employees of City and shall at all times be under Consultant’s exclusive direction and control. Consultant shall pay all wages, salaries, and other amounts due such personnel in connection with their performance of Services under this Agreement and as required by law. Consultant shall be responsible for all reports

and obligations respecting such additional personnel, including, but not limited to: social security taxes, income tax withholding, unemployment insurance, disability insurance, and workers' compensation insurance.

3.2.2 Schedule of Services. Consultant shall perform the Services within the Term of this Agreement, in accordance with the Schedule of Services set forth in Exhibit "B" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, and in accordance with any other completion schedule or milestones which may be separately agreed upon in writing by the Parties. Consultant represents that it has the professional and technical personnel required to perform the Services in conformance with such conditions. In order to facilitate Consultant's conformance with the Schedule, City shall respond to Consultant's submittals in a timely manner. Upon request of City, Consultant shall provide a more detailed schedule of anticipated performance to meet the Schedule of Services.

3.2.3 Conformance to Applicable Requirements. All Services performed by Consultant shall be subject to the approval of City.

3.2.4 Substitution of Key Personnel. Consultant has represented to City that certain key personnel will perform and coordinate the Services under this Agreement. Should one or more of such personnel become unavailable, Consultant may substitute other personnel of at least equal competence upon written approval of City. In the event that City and Consultant cannot agree as to the substitution of key personnel, City shall be entitled to terminate this Agreement for cause. As discussed below, any personnel who fail or refuse to perform the Services in a manner acceptable to the City, or who are determined by the City to be uncooperative, incompetent, a threat to the adequate or timely completion of the Project or a threat to the safety of persons or property, shall be promptly removed from the Project by the Consultant at the request of the City. The key personnel for performance of this Agreement are as follows: Chief Stewart W. Gary, MPA and Darrell Talbert, City Manager.

3.2.5 City's Representative. The City hereby designates Darrell Talbert, City Manager, or his or her designee, to act as its representative for the performance of this Agreement ("City's Representative"). City's Representative shall have the power to act on behalf of the City for all purposes under this Contract. Consultant shall not accept direction or orders from any person other than the City's Representative or his or her designee.

3.2.6 Consultant's Representative. Consultant hereby designates Chief Stewart W. Gary, MPA, or his or her designee, to act as its representative for the performance of this Agreement ("Consultant's Representative"). Consultant's Representative shall have full authority to represent and act on behalf of the Consultant for all purposes under this Agreement. The Consultant's Representative shall supervise and direct the Services, using his best skill and attention, and shall be responsible for all means, methods, techniques, sequences, and procedures and for the satisfactory coordination of all portions of the Services under this Agreement.

3.2.7 Coordination of Services. Consultant agrees to work closely with City staff in the performance of Services and shall be available to City's staff, consultants and other staff at all reasonable times.

3.2.8 Standard of Care; Performance of Employees. Consultant shall perform all Services under this Agreement in a skillful and competent manner, consistent with the standards generally recognized as being employed by professionals in the same discipline in the State of California. Consultant represents and maintains that it is skilled in the professional calling necessary to perform the Services. Consultant agrees that all employees and subconsultants shall have sufficient skill and experience to perform the Services assigned to them. Finally, Consultant represents that it, its employees and subconsultants shall have all licenses, permits, qualifications and approvals of whatever nature that are legally required to perform the Services, including a City Business License, and that such licenses and approvals shall be maintained throughout the Term of this Agreement. As provided for in the indemnification provisions of this Agreement, Consultant shall perform, at its own cost and expense and without reimbursement from the City, any services necessary to correct errors or omissions which are caused by the Consultant's failure to comply with the standard of care provided for herein. Any employee of the Consultant or its sub-consultants who is determined by the City to be uncooperative, incompetent, a threat to the adequate or timely completion of the Project, a threat to the safety of persons or property, or any employee who fails or refuses to perform the Services in a manner acceptable to the City, shall be promptly removed from the Project by the Consultant and shall not be re-employed to perform any of the Services or to work on the Project.

3.2.9 Laws and Regulations; Employee/Labor Certifications. Consultant shall keep itself fully informed of and in compliance with all local, state and federal laws, rules and regulations in any manner affecting the performance of the Project or the Services, including all Cal/OSHA requirements, and shall give all notices required by law. Consultant shall be liable for all violations of such laws and regulations in connection with Services. If the Consultant performs any work or Services knowing them to be contrary to such laws, rules and regulations and without giving written notice to the City, Consultant shall be solely responsible for all costs arising therefrom. Consultant shall defend, indemnify and hold City, its officials, directors, officers, employees, and agents free and harmless, pursuant to the indemnification provisions of this Agreement, from any claim or liability arising out of any failure or alleged failure to comply with such laws, rules or regulations.

3.2.9.1 Employment Eligibility; Consultant. By executing this Agreement, Consultant verifies that it fully complies with all requirements and restrictions of state and federal law respecting the employment of undocumented aliens, including, but not limited to, the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, as may be amended from time to time. Such requirements and restrictions include, but are not limited to, examination and retention of documentation confirming the identity and immigration status of each employee of the Consultant. Consultant also verifies that it has not committed a violation of any such law within the five (5) years immediately preceding the date of execution of this Agreement and shall not violate any such law at any time during the Term of the Agreement. Consultant shall avoid any violation of any such law during the Term of this Agreement by participating in an electronic verification of work authorization program operated by the United States Department of Homeland Security, by participating in an equivalent federal work authorization program operated by the United States Department of Homeland Security to verify information of newly hired employees, or by some other legally acceptable method. Consultant shall maintain records of each such verification and shall make them available to the City or its representatives for inspection and copy at any time during normal business hours. The City shall not be responsible for any costs or expenses related to Consultant's compliance with the requirements provided for in Section 3.2.9 or any of its sub-sections.

3.2.9.2 Employment Eligibility; SubConsultants, Consultants, Sub-subConsultants and Subconsultants. To the same extent and under the same conditions as Consultant, Consultant shall require all of its subConsultants, consultants, sub-subConsultants and subconsultants performing any work or Services relating to the Project or this Agreement to make the same verifications and comply with all requirements and restrictions provided for in Section 3.2.9.1.

3.2.9.3 Employment Eligibility; Failure to Comply. Each person executing this Agreement on behalf of Consultant verifies that they are a duly authorized officer of Consultant, and understands that any of the following shall be grounds for the City to terminate the Agreement for cause: (1) failure of Consultant or its subConsultants, consultants, sub-subConsultants or subconsultants to meet any of the requirements provided for in Sections 3.2.9.1 or 3.2.9.2; (2) any misrepresentation or material omission concerning compliance with such requirements (including in those verifications provided to the Consultant under Section 3.2.9.2); or (3) failure to immediately remove from the Project any person found not to be in compliance with such requirements.

3.2.9.4 Labor Certification. By its signature hereunder, Consultant certifies that it is aware of the provisions of Section 3700 of the California Labor Code which require every employer to be insured against liability for Workers' Compensation or to undertake self-insurance in accordance with the provisions of that Code and agrees to comply with such provisions before commencing the performance of the Services.

3.2.9.5 Equal Opportunity Employment. Consultant represents that it is an equal opportunity employer and it shall not discriminate against any subconsultant, employee or applicant for employment because of race, religion, color, national origin, handicap, ancestry, sex or age. Such non-discrimination shall include, but not be limited to, all activities related to initial employment, upgrading, demotion, transfer, recruitment or recruitment advertising, layoff or termination. Consultant shall also comply with all relevant provisions of City's Minority Business Enterprise program, Affirmative Action Plan or other related programs or guidelines currently in effect or hereinafter enacted.

3.2.9.6 Air Quality. To the extent applicable, Consultant must fully comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations in furnishing or using equipment and/or providing services, including, but not limited to, emissions limits and permitting requirements imposed by the South Coast Air Quality Management

District (SCAQMD) and/or California Air Resources Board (CARB). Although the SCAQMD and CARB limits and requirements are more broad. Consultant shall specifically be aware of their application to "portable equipment", which definition is considered by SCAQMD and CARB to include any item of equipment with a fuel-powered engine. Consultant shall indemnify City against any fines or penalties imposed by SCAQMD, CARB, or any other governmental or regulatory agency for violations of applicable laws, rules and/or regulations by Consultant, its subconsultants, or others for whom Consultant is responsible under its indemnity obligations provided for in this Agreement.

3.2.10 Insurance.

3.2.10.1 Time for Compliance. Promptly following the Effective Date of this Agreement, but in no event before Consultant commences any Services under this Agreement, Consultant shall provide evidence satisfactory to the City that it has secured all insurance required under this section. Failure to provide and maintain all required insurance shall be grounds for the City to terminate this Agreement for cause.

3.2.10.2 Minimum Requirements. Consultant shall, at its expense, procure and maintain for the duration of the Agreement insurance against claims for injuries to persons or damages to property which may arise from or in connection with the performance of the Agreement by the Consultant, its agents, representatives, employees or subconsultants. Consultant shall also require all of its subconsultants to procure and maintain the same insurance for the duration of the Agreement. Such insurance shall meet at least the following minimum levels of coverage:

(A) Minimum Scope of Insurance. Coverage shall be at least as broad as the latest version of the following: (1) *General Liability*: Insurance Services Office Commercial General Liability coverage (occurrence form CG 0001); (2) *Automobile Liability*: Insurance Services Office Business Auto Coverage form number CA 0001, code 1 (any auto), or if Consultant has no owned autos, Code 8 (hired) and 9 (non-owned); and (3) *Workers' Compensation and Employer's Liability*: Workers' Compensation insurance as required by the State of California and Employer's Liability Insurance.

(B) Minimum Limits of Insurance. Consultant shall maintain limits no less than: (1) *General Liability*: \$1,000,000 per occurrence for bodily injury, personal injury, advertising injury and property damage. If Commercial General Liability Insurance or other form with general aggregate limit is used including, but not limited to, form CG 2503, either the general aggregate limit shall apply separately to this Agreement/location or the general aggregate limit shall be twice the required occurrence limit; (2) *Automobile Liability*: \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury and property damage; and (3) *Workers' Compensation and Employer's Liability*: Workers' Compensation limits as required by the Labor Code of the State of California. Employer's Liability limits of \$1,000,000 per accident for bodily injury or disease.

3.2.10.3 Professional Liability. Consultant shall procure and maintain, and require its subconsultants to procure and maintain, for a period of five (5) years following completion of the Project, errors and omissions liability insurance appropriate to their profession. Such insurance shall be in an amount not less than \$1,000,000 per occurrence or claim, \$2,000,000 aggregate.

3.2.10.4 Insurance Endorsements. The insurance policies shall contain or be endorsed (amended) to include the following provisions:

(A) General Liability. The general liability policy shall state that: (1) the City, its directors, officials, officers, employees, agents, and volunteers shall be covered as additional insured with respect to liability arising out of work or operations performed by or on behalf of the Consultant, including materials, parts or equipment furnished in connection therewith (the endorsement form shall be at least as broad as ISO Form CG 20 10 11 85 or both CG 20 37 and one of the following: CG 20 10, CG 20 26, CG 20 33 or CG 20 38); and (2) the insurance coverage shall be primary insurance coverage as respects the City, its directors, officials, officers, employees, agents, and volunteers (the endorsement form shall be at least as broad as ISO CG 20 01 04 13). Any insurance or self-insurance maintained by the City, its directors, officials, officers, employees, agents, and volunteers shall be excess of the Consultant's insurance and shall not be called upon to contribute with it in any way.

(B) Waiver of Subrogation – Workers’ Compensation and Employer’s Liability Coverage. The insurer shall agree to waive all rights of subrogation against the City, its directors, officials, officers, employees, agents, and volunteers for losses paid under the terms of the insurance policy which arise from work or Services performed by the Consultant.

(C) All Coverages. If Consultant maintains broader coverage and/or higher limits than the minimums shown above, the City is entitled to the broader coverage and/or higher limits maintained by Consultant. Thus, any available insurance proceeds in excess of the specified minimum limits of insurance and coverage shall be available to the City.

3.2.10.5 Other Provisions; Endorsements Preferred. Consultant shall endeavor to provide endorsements regarding the following provisions, but nonetheless understands, acknowledges and agrees that the following provisions shall apply and that failure to comply shall be considered to be a breach of this Agreement by Consultant:

(A) Waiver of Subrogation – All Other Policies. Consultant hereby waives all rights of subrogation any insurer of Consultant’s may acquire against the City, its directors, officials, officers, employees, agents, and volunteers for losses paid under the terms of any insurance policy which arise from work or Services performed by the Consultant. Consultant understands, acknowledges and agrees that this provision is in full force and effect even if the City does not receive a waiver of subrogation endorsement from the insurer.

(B) Notice. Consultant shall either: (1) require its insurer to provide thirty (30) days prior written notice to the City before coverage is suspended, voided, or canceled; or (2) notify City in writing that such notice is not available and forward any notice of such actions to the City within two (2) business days from date of receipt by Consultant. Consultant understands, acknowledges and agrees that this provision is in full force and effect even if the City does not receive a waiver of subrogation endorsement from the insurer.

3.2.10.6 Claims Made Policies. The following provisions shall apply to all policies that provide coverage on a claims-made basis: (A) the retroactive date must be shown and must be before the date on which any Services under this Agreement commence; (B) the insurance must be maintained and evidence of insurance must be provided for at least five (5) years after completion of the Project; and (C) if coverage is canceled or not renewed and is not replaced with another claims-made policy with a retroactive date prior to the date on which any Services under this Agreement commence, Consultant must purchase “extended reporting” coverage for a minimum of five (5) years after completion of Project.

3.2.10.7 Deductibles and Self-Insurance Retentions. Any deductibles or self-insured retentions must be declared to and approved by the City. The City may require the Consultant to provide proof of ability to pay losses and related investigation, claims administration and defense expenses within the deductible or self-insured retention. The deductible or self-insured retention may be satisfied by either the named insured or the City.

3.2.10.8 Acceptability of Insurers. Unless under the circumstances a different rating is otherwise acceptable to the City in its sole and absolute discretion, insurance is to be placed with insurers which are satisfactory to the City and which meet either of the following criteria : (1) an insurer with a current A.M. Best’s rating no less than A-:VII and licensed as an admitted insurance carrier in California; or (2) an insurer with a current A.M. Best’s rating no less than A-:X and authorized to issue the required policies in California.

3.2.10.9 Verification of Coverage. Consultant shall furnish City with original certificates of insurance, as well as amendatory endorsements or copies of the applicable policy language effecting coverage required by this Agreement. All documents must be received and approved by the City before any Services commence; provided, however, that failure to obtain the required documents prior to the commencement of Services shall not waive Consultant’s obligation to provide them. The City reserves the right to require complete, certified copies of all required insurance policies, at any time.

3.2.10.10 Reporting of Claims. Consultant shall report to the City, in addition to Consultant's insurer, any and all insurance claims submitted by Consultant in connection with the Services under this Agreement.

3.2.10.11 Sub-Consultants. All sub-consultants shall comply with each and every insurance provision of this Section 3.2.10. Consultant shall therefore not allow any sub-consultant to commence work on any subcontract to perform any part of the Services until it has provided evidence satisfactory to the City that the sub-consultant has secured all insurance required under this Agreement.

3.2.10.12 Special Risk or Circumstances. The City reserves the right, in its sole and absolute discretion, to modify the requirements of this Section 3.2.10, including limits, based on any of the following: (A) the nature of the risk of the Services; (B) the prior experience of the insured; (C) the rating or other quality or characteristic of the insurer; (D) any special or unique coverage issues; and (E) any other special or unique circumstances.

3.2.11 Safety. Consultant shall execute and maintain its work and Services so as to avoid injury or damage to any person or property. In carrying out its Services, the Consultant shall at all times be in compliance with all applicable local, state and federal laws, rules and regulations, and shall exercise all necessary precautions for the safety of employees appropriate to the nature of the Services and the conditions under which the Services are to be performed.

3.2.12 Accounting Records. Consultant shall maintain complete and accurate records with respect to all costs and expenses incurred under this Agreement. All such records shall be clearly identifiable. Consultant shall allow a representative of City during normal business hours to examine, audit, and make transcripts or copies of such records and any other documents created pursuant to this Agreement. Consultant shall allow inspection of all work, data, documents, proceedings, and activities related to the Agreement for a period of three (3) years from the date of final payment under this Agreement.

3.3 Fees and Payments.

3.3.1 Rates & Total Compensation. Consultant shall receive compensation, including authorized reimbursements, for all Services rendered under this Agreement at the rates set forth in Exhibit "C" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. The total compensation, including authorized reimbursements, shall not exceed Eighty Seven Thousand Eight Hundred and Seventy Three Dollars (\$87,873) ("Total Compensation"), without written approval of City's Representative. Extra Work may be authorized, as described below, and if authorized, will be compensated at the rates and manner set forth in this Agreement.

3.3.2 Payment of Compensation. Consultant shall submit to City a monthly itemized statement which indicates work completed and hours of Services rendered by Consultant. The statement shall describe the amount of Services and supplies provided since the initial commencement date, or since the start of the subsequent billing periods, as appropriate, through the date of the statement. City shall, within 30 days of receiving such statement, review the statement and pay all approved charges thereon.

3.3.3 Reimbursement for Expenses. Consultant shall not be reimbursed for any expenses unless authorized in writing by City.

3.3.4 Extra Work. At any time during the Term of this Agreement, City may request that Consultant perform Extra Work. As used herein, "Extra Work" means any work which is determined by City to be necessary for the proper completion of the Project, but which the Parties did not reasonably anticipate would be necessary at the execution of this Agreement. Consultant shall not perform, nor be compensated for, Extra Work without written authorization from City's Representative.

3.3.5 Prevailing Wages. Consultant is aware of the requirements of Chapter 1 (beginning at Section 1720 et seq.) of Part 7 of Division 2 of the California Labor Code, as well as Title 8, Section 16000 et seq. of the California Code of Regulations ("Prevailing Wage Laws"), which require the payment of prevailing wage rates and the performance of other requirements on "public works" and "maintenance" projects. If the Services are being

performed as part of an applicable “public works” or “maintenance” project, as defined by the Prevailing Wage Laws, and if the Total Compensation is \$1,000 or more, Consultant and its subconsultants shall fully comply with the Prevailing Wage Laws for their employees and any others to whom such laws are applicable. Consultant and its subconsultants shall also be responsible for any and all violations and fines imposed on them pursuant to the Prevailing Wage Laws. Pursuant to SB 854, which amended the Prevailing Wage Laws, this Agreement would also be subject to compliance monitoring and enforcement by the California Department of Industrial Relations (“DIR”). Beginning April 1, 2015, no consultant or subconsultant may be awarded this Agreement unless registered with the DIR pursuant to Labor Code Section 1725.5. The City will report all necessary agreements to the DIR as required by the Prevailing Wage Laws. Consultant shall make copies of the prevailing rates of per diem wages for each craft, classification or type of worker needed to execute the Services available to interested parties upon request and shall post copies at the Consultant’s principal place of business and at the Project site. It is most efficient for the Consultant to obtain a copy of the prevailing wages in effect at the commencement of this Agreement from the website of the Division of Labor Statistics and Research of the DIR located at www.dir.ca.gov/dlsr/. In the alternative, Consultant may obtain a copy of the prevailing wages from the City’s Representative. Consultant shall defend, indemnify and hold the City, its elected officials, officers, employees and agents free and harmless from any claim or liability arising out of any failure or alleged failure to comply with the Prevailing Wage Laws.

3.4 Termination of Agreement.

3.4.1 Grounds for Termination. City may, by written notice to Consultant, terminate the whole or any part of this Agreement at any time and without cause by giving written notice to Consultant of such termination, and specifying the effective date thereof, at least seven (7) days before the effective date of such termination. Upon termination, Consultant shall be compensated only for those Services which have been adequately rendered to City, as well as any authorized reimbursable expenses, and Consultant shall be entitled to no further compensation. Consultant may not terminate this Agreement except for cause.

3.4.2 Effect of Termination. If this Agreement is terminated as provided herein, City may require Consultant to provide all finished or unfinished Documents and Data and other information of any kind prepared by Consultant in connection with the performance of Services under this Agreement. Consultant shall be required to provide such document and other information within fifteen (15) days of the request.

3.4.3 Additional Services. In the event this Agreement is terminated in whole or in part as provided herein, City may procure, upon such terms and in such manner as it may determine appropriate, services similar to those terminated.

3.5 Ownership of Materials and Confidentiality.

3.5.1 Documents & Data; Licensing of Intellectual Property. This Agreement creates a non-exclusive and perpetual license for City to copy, use, modify, reuse, or sublicense any and all copyrights, designs, and other intellectual property embodied in plans, specifications, studies, drawings, estimates, and other documents or works of authorship fixed in any tangible medium of expression, including but not limited to, physical drawings or data magnetically, electronically or otherwise recorded or stored, which are prepared or caused to be prepared by Consultant under this Agreement (“Documents & Data”). All Documents & Data shall be and remain the property of City and shall not be used in whole or in substantial part by Consultant on other projects without the City's express written permission. Within thirty (30) days following the completion, suspension, abandonment or termination of this Agreement, Consultant shall provide to City reproducible copies of all Documents & Data, in a form and amount required by City. City reserves the right to select the method of document reproduction and to establish where the reproduction will be accomplished. The reproduction expense shall be borne by City at the actual cost of duplication. In the event of a dispute regarding the amount of compensation to which the Consultant is entitled under the termination provisions of this Agreement, Consultant shall provide all Documents & Data to City upon payment of the undisputed amount. Consultant shall have no right to retain or fail to provide to City any such documents pending resolution of the dispute. In addition, Consultant shall retain copies of all Documents & Data on file for a minimum of five (5) years following completion of the Project and shall make copies available to City upon the payment of actual reasonable duplication costs. In addition, before destroying the Documents & Data following this retention period, Consultant shall make a reasonable effort to notify City and provide City with the opportunity to obtain the documents.

3.5.2 Subconsultants. Consultant shall require all subconsultants to agree in writing that City is granted a non-exclusive and perpetual license for any Documents & Data the subconsultant prepares under this Agreement. Consultant represents and warrants that Consultant has the legal right to license any and all Documents & Data. Consultant makes no such representation and warranty in regard to Documents & Data which were prepared by design professionals other than Consultant or its subconsultants, or those provided to Consultant by the City.

3.5.3 Right to Use. City shall not be limited in any way in its use or reuse of the Documents and Data or any part of them at any time for purposes of this Project or another project, provided that any such use not within the purposes intended by this Agreement or on a project other than this Project without employing the services of Consultant shall be at City's sole risk. If City uses or reuses the Documents & Data on any project other than this Project, it shall remove the Consultant's seal from the Documents & Data and indemnify and hold harmless Consultant and its officers, directors, agents and employees from claims arising out of the negligent use or re-use of the Documents & Data on such other project. Consultant shall be responsible and liable for its Documents & Data, pursuant to the terms of this Agreement, only with respect to the condition of the Documents & Data at the time they are provided to the City upon completion, suspension, abandonment or termination. Consultant shall not be responsible or liable for any revisions to the Documents & Data made by any party other than Consultant, a party for whom the Consultant is legally responsible or liable, or anyone approved by the Consultant.

3.5.4 Indemnification. Consultant shall defend, indemnify and hold the City, its directors, officials, officers, employees, volunteers and agents free and harmless, pursuant to the indemnification provisions of this Agreement, for any alleged infringement of any patent, copyright, trade secret, trade name, trademark, or any other proprietary right of any person or entity in consequence of the use on the Project by City of the Documents & Data, including any method, process, product, or concept specified or depicted.

3.5.5 Confidentiality. All Documents & Data, either created by or provided to Consultant in connection with the performance of this Agreement, shall be held confidential by Consultant. All Documents & Data shall not, without the prior written consent of City, be used or reproduced by Consultant for any purposes other than the performance of the Services. Consultant shall not disclose, cause or facilitate the disclosure of the Documents & Data to any person or entity not connected with the performance of the Services or the Project. Nothing furnished to Consultant that is otherwise known to Consultant or is generally known, or has become known, to the related industry shall be deemed confidential. Consultant shall not use City's name or insignia, photographs of the Project, or any publicity pertaining to the Services or the Project in any magazine, trade paper, newspaper, television or radio production or other similar medium without the prior written consent of City.

3.6 General Provisions.

3.6.1 Delivery of Notices. All notices permitted or required under this Agreement shall be given to the respective Parties at the following address, or at such other address as the respective Parties may provide in writing for this purpose:

Consultant:

Citygate Associates, LLC
2250 East Bidwell Street, Ste. 100
Folsom, CA 95630
Attn: David C. DeRoos, MPA, CMC, President

City:

City of Corona
400 South Vicentia Avenue
Corona, CA 92882
Attn: Darrell Talbert, City Manager

Such notice shall be deemed made when personally delivered or when mailed, forty-eight (48) hours after deposit in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid and addressed to the Party at its applicable address. Actual notice shall be deemed adequate notice on the date actual notice occurred, regardless of the method of service.

3.6.2 Indemnification. To the fullest extent permitted by law, Consultant shall defend (with counsel of City's choosing), indemnify and hold the City, its directors, officials, officers, employees, volunteers and agents free and harmless from any and all claims, demands, causes of action, costs, expenses, liability, loss, damage or injury of any kind, in law or equity, to property or persons, including wrongful death, to the extent arising out of, pertaining to, or incident to any alleged willful misconduct or negligent acts, errors or omissions of Consultant, its officials, officers, employees, subConsultants, consultants or agents in connection with the performance of the Consultant's Services, the Project or this Agreement, including without limitation the payment of all settlement amounts, expert witness fees and attorneys fees and other related costs and expenses. Notwithstanding the foregoing, to the extent Consultant's Services are subject to Civil Code Section 2782.8, the above indemnity shall be limited, to the extent required by Civil Code Section 2782.8, to claims that arise out of, pertain to, or relate to the negligence, recklessness, or willful misconduct of the Consultant. Consultant's obligation to indemnify shall survive expiration or termination of this Agreement, and shall not be restricted to insurance proceeds, if any, received by the City, its directors, officials, officers, employees, agents, or volunteers.

3.6.3 Governing Law; Government Code Claim Compliance. This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. Venue shall be in Riverside County. In addition to any and all contract requirements pertaining to notices of and requests for compensation or payment for extra work, disputed work, claims and/or changed conditions, Consultant must comply with the claim procedures set forth in Government Code Sections 900 *et seq.* prior to filing any lawsuit against the City. Such Government Code claims and any subsequent lawsuit based upon the Government Code claims shall be limited to those matters that remain unresolved after all procedures pertaining to extra work, disputed work, claims, and/or changed conditions have been followed by Consultant. If no such Government Code claim is submitted, or if any prerequisite contractual requirements are not otherwise satisfied as specified herein, Consultant shall be barred from bringing and maintaining a valid lawsuit against the City.

3.6.4 Time of Essence. Time is of the essence for each and every provision of this Agreement.

3.6.5 City's Right to Employ Other Consultants. City reserves right to employ other consultants in connection with this Project.

3.6.6 Successors and Assigns. This Agreement shall be binding on the successors and assigns of the Parties.

3.6.6.1 Subconsultants; Assignment or Transfer. Consultant shall not subcontract any portion of the Services required under this Agreement, except as expressly authorized herein, without the prior written approval of the City. Subcontracts, if any, shall include a provision making them subject to all provisions of this Agreement. Consultant shall also not assign, hypothecate or transfer, either directly or by operation of law, this Agreement or any interest herein without the prior written consent of the City. Any attempt to subcontract or take any other action not authorized herein shall be null and void, and any subconsultants, assignees, hypothecates or transferees shall acquire no right or interest by reason of such action.

3.6.7 Construction; References; Captions. Since the Parties or their agents have participated fully in the preparation of this Agreement, the language of this Agreement shall be construed simply, according to its fair meaning, and not strictly for or against any Party. Any term referencing time, days or period for performance shall be deemed calendar days and not work days. All references to Consultant include all personnel, employees, agents, and subconsultants of Consultant, except as otherwise specified in this Agreement. All references to City include its elected officials, officers, employees, agents, and volunteers except as otherwise specified in this Agreement. The captions of the various articles and paragraphs are for convenience and ease of reference only, and do not define, limit, augment, or describe the scope, content or intent of this Agreement.

3.6.8 Amendment; Modification. No supplement, modification or amendment of this Agreement shall be binding unless executed in writing and signed by both Parties.

3.6.9 Waiver. No waiver of any default shall constitute a waiver of any other default or breach, whether of the same or other covenant or condition. No waiver, benefit, privilege, or service voluntarily given or performed by a Party shall give the other Party any contractual rights by custom, estoppel or otherwise.

3.6.10 No Third-Party Beneficiaries. Except to the extent expressly provided for in Section 3.6.6, there are no intended third-party beneficiaries of any right or obligation assumed by the Parties.

3.6.11 Invalidity; Severability. If any portion of this Agreement is declared invalid, illegal, or otherwise unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

3.6.12 Prohibited Interests. Consultant maintains and warrants that it has not employed nor retained any company or person, other than a bona fide employee working solely for Consultant, to solicit or secure this Agreement. Further, Consultant warrants that it has not paid nor has it agreed to pay any company or person, other than a bona fide employee working solely for Consultant, any fee, commission, percentage, brokerage fee, gift or other consideration contingent upon or resulting from the award or making of this Agreement. Consultant further agrees to file, or shall cause its employees or subconsultants to file, a Statement of Economic Interest with the City's Filing Officer as required under state law in the performance of the Services. For breach or violation of this warranty, City shall have the right to rescind this Agreement without liability. For the Term of this Agreement, no member, officer or employee of City, during the term of his or her service with City, shall have any direct interest in this Agreement, or obtain any present or anticipated material benefit arising therefrom.

3.6.13 Cooperation; Further Acts. The Parties shall fully cooperate with one another, and shall take any additional acts or sign any additional documents as may be necessary, appropriate or convenient to attain the purposes of this Agreement.

3.6.14 Attorney's Fees. If either Party commences an action against the other Party, either legal, administrative or otherwise, arising out of or in connection with this Agreement, the prevailing Party in such litigation shall be entitled to have and recover from the losing Party reasonable attorney's fees and all other costs of such action.

3.6.15 Authority to Enter Agreement. Consultant has all requisite power and authority to conduct its business and to execute, deliver, and perform the Agreement. Each Party warrants that the individuals who have signed this Agreement have the legal power, right, and authority to make this Agreement and bind each respective Party.

3.6.16 Counterparts. This Agreement may be signed in counterparts, each of which shall constitute an original.

3.6.17 Entire Agreement. This Agreement contains the entire Agreement of the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior negotiations, understandings or agreements. This Agreement may only be modified by a writing signed by both Parties.

[SIGNATURES ON NEXT 2 PAGES]

CITY'S SIGNATURE PAGE FOR
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT
WITH CITYGATE ASSOCIATES, LLC
(CONSULTING SERVICES) – (RFP NO. 19-002MS FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL
PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have entered into this Agreement as of the date first written above.

CITY OF CORONA

By: _____
Darrell Talbert
City Manager

Reviewed by:

By: _____
Brian Young
Fire Chief

Reviewed by:

By: _____
Cita Longworth
Purchasing Manager

Attest: _____
Sylvia Edwards
City Clerk

CONSULTANT'S SIGNATURE PAGE FOR
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT
WITH CITYGATE ASSOCIATES, LLC
(CONSULTING SERVICES) – (RFP NO. 19-002MS FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL
PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES)

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have entered into this Agreement as of the date first written above.

CITYGATE ASSOCIATES, LLC
a California Limited Liability Company

By: _____
David C. DeRoos, MPA, CMC
President

EXHIBIT “A” SCOPE OF SERVICES

This section describes the scope of services to be completed by the Consultant.

The Consultant will develop an organizational strategy that outlines five-year goals for the Fire Department, with a specific set of objectives and a plan for implementation of the first three years of the plan’s timeframe.

Project Methodology

Citygate’s comprehensive performance assessment will be founded on an exhaustive study of Corona’s Fire Department using the following methodologies.

Fire Services Comprehensive Standards of Coverage and Headquarters Assessment

Citygate’s assessment of the Corona Fire Department (Department) will:

- ◆ Utilize the Commission for Fire Accreditation International (CFAI-CPSE) best practices, conduct a resource deployment, Standards of Coverage analysis with geographic mapping and incident response statistics for all types of emergency response services from dispatch and fire incident data reporting systems.
 - Citygate will use TriTech’s advanced fire department analysis geo-mapping software to analyze current and future fire station locations by driving time and prepare response time coverage models including traffic congestion impacts on response times. The Consultant will utilize TriTech to prepare analysis maps of the Department’s current and expected operational situation.
 - Citygate will use the Department’s existing incident response time analysis reports to review the statistics of prior actual historical performance. The Consultant will apply advanced visualization of fire incident statistics demand on the geography using GIS mapping.
- ◆ Utilize National Fire Protection Agency (NFPA), Insurance Services Office (ISO), and CFAI criteria as needed and, importantly, the Consultant’s experience across many agencies working within the same regulatory and economic construct as the Department.
- ◆ Assess Department member perceptions and expectations of their services – issue SWOT questionnaires to *employees* (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats) and as appropriate, other agency employees that interact with the Department to listen to what the perceptions of the Department are and how it is or is not meeting needs.
- ◆ The Consultant’s headquarters assessment will identify gaps—if any—in operations and resources and develop recommendations to maximize the effectiveness of current Department operations and resources.

- ◆ The Consultant's headquarters assessment will use the CFAI self-assessment criteria and NFPA Standard 1201—*Standard for Providing Emergency Services to the Public* as fire service performance indicators, and other NFPA standards as the basis for evaluating non-response-related services, such as fire prevention, training, and administration. The study will identify the current workload, staffing, and facilities, and compare these current services to current and forecasted workload in the Department.

- ◆ Conduct interviews with stakeholders, including City management and City and Department staff, to assess goals, expectations, and perceived workload levels.

Fire Department Advanced Data Overview (FireView)

TriTech provides precision data and response modeling services with Citygate for GIS-based analysis of department, station, and unit coverage and gaps in service. TriTech's FireView program enables understanding NFPA Standard 1710 compliance and ISO audits, as well as Standards of Cover, using numerous data mining tools. The FireView program can be used to locate new stations, redistribute response areas, analyze station coverage, determine first-due areas, and run orders to better serve the City.

Using FireView will allow Citygate and TriTech to:

- ◆ Determine the estimated response zones and incident coverage by drive time or distance, calibrated to prior Corona fire unit travel times. Traffic congestion impedance data can optionally be added to the model to determine the impacts of rush hour traffic on fire and ambulance unit travel times.

- ◆ Investigate fire/EMS calls for service within any response area, near, or at an address or landmark such as an assisted living complex or retirement home.

- ◆ Query for incident activity by multiple categories such as call type, location type, unit, response time, date, or time to assess existing deployment strategies.

- ◆ Create density, hot-spot, and repeat calls maps to help isolate problem areas.

- ◆ Analyze response patterns.

- ◆ Pinpoint the number of stations able to respond within a specific response time at any location.

- ◆ Optimize the response capabilities of fire/EMS stations.

- ◆ Depict the average response time or total calls per hour graphically.

Benchmarking

Citygate will take note that the City has requested benchmarking the draft plan elements to other rapidly growing nearby communities. The Consultant has extensive experience in benchmarking communities and can help Corona understand how other local agencies are dealing with the challenges of meeting growth within best practices on constrained revenues. The Consultant will work with the Department and the City to create meaningful benchmarks that will benefit the City.

WORK PLAN

The Work Plan for this study is comprised of six (6) tasks.

1. Initiate and Manage the Project
2. Deployment Review of the Fire Services Delivery System
3. In-Depth Review of Department Functions and Staffing
4. Conduct a Mid-Project Review
5. Forecast Resource Needs; Conduct Final Service Delivery Models and Prepare Draft Master Plan
6. Prepare and Deliver the Final Fire Department Master Plan with Executive Summary, Recommendations and Costs

Citygate will have the proposed Work Plan reviewed and schedule with the City prior to beginning work. After obtaining additional input, we will finalize our Work Plan and the accompanying schedule.

Task 1: Initiate and Manage the Project

- ◆ Develop detailed Work Plan schedule for the project.
 - The Consultant will develop a detailed work schedule and final project timeline for the Department to review. These tools will assist both the Consultant's and Department staff to monitor the progress of the study.
- ◆ Meet with Department staff representatives to initiate study.
 - The senior members of the Consultant's team will meet with Department representatives to correlate the Consultant's understandings of the study's scope and ensure that Citygate's Work Plan and project schedule are mutually agreeable.
- ◆ Obtain and review City/Department documentation.
 - Citygate will develop and submit a list of all documents relevant to this project, including the City's General Plan; the Council Strategic Plan; growth forecasts; any appropriate prior studies; Department documentation, including (as available) mission statements, organizational charts, budgets, response policies, dispatch data, fleet inventory, facility

condition assessments, current personnel, equipment, and other operating costs; and a myriad of other information. Citygate will prepare a custom list of needed documents for the Department and establish Dropbox folders for the Department to securely and easily transfer all electronic files. This preliminary step in the engagement ensures that the Consultant's time on site is used effectively and efficiently.

◆ Interview Department leadership and the Fire Chief.

- To enhance the Consultant's understanding of the issues at stake in this project, Citygate will meet with, as appropriate and if directed, the City Council members, the City Manager's Office, Fire Chief, Assistant Fire Chiefs, Fire Association representatives, as well as City staff who frequently interact with or have an interdependent relationship with the Department.

◆ Interview Department staff.

- To enhance Citygate's understanding of the issues at stake in this project, Citygate will meet with, as appropriate and directed, the members of the Department.

◆ Develop a public participation and input plan.

- Citygate will complete a public participation and input plan that will enable the public the ability to provide their input in the master planning process.

◆ Ongoing project management.

- Throughout the entire project duration, the Consultant will monitor the engagement progress and completion of tasks, including providing monthly written status reports and oral communication, as needed, to the Department and City leadership.

Meetings

There will be one, one-day on-site trip during Task 1 to kick-off the project, establish relationships, conduct stakeholder interviews, and set the information gathering into agreement and motion.

Task 2: Deployment Review of the Fire Services Delivery System

- ◆ Conduct a complete Standards of Coverage (SOC) study. This review will consider existing station locations, using geographic mapping and prior incident response statistics to measure the effectiveness to desired goals of the current deployment plan. This assessment will include mutual and automatic aid.

- **Optional Service Enhancement** – Citygate has included the option to upgrade its deployment analysis by including traffic congestion data. This option will utilize traffic congestion data to model rush hour impacts on fire apparatus travel times.
- ◆ Assess current and future service delivery levels based on staffing, location and adequacy of resources and facilities, and incident and demand statistics.
- ◆ The SOC will begin with a risk assessment of values at risk in the City to be protected. This assessment will include zoning, population demographics, ISO commercial building inventory information and target hazards identified by the Fire Department, to name a few.
 - Chief Gary will conduct the deployment review, with the assistance of Michael Fay and TriTech (GIS Specialist). Chief Meyer will assist with the risk assessment component.
 - Citygate strongly encourages the Fire Department to focus on the value of this step as a “study within the study.” Citygate submits that a full GIS and statistical review of its deployment system will provide a solid foundation for administrative functions analysis steps. The headquarters team size must fit the needs of the total number of fire station personnel that need training, management, and logistical support.
 - When this step is complete, the findings and recommendations will be integrated into the Draft and Final Master Plan documents, which are presented in Tasks 5 and 6. Citygate will consider any results of Task 2, such as a need for increased or re-located stations, that might influence Task 3.

Meetings

There are no-onsite meetings scheduled for this task.

Task 3: In-Depth Review of Department Functions and Staffing

- ◆ Perform in-depth review of the Fire Department.
 - In addition to the deployment study, Citygate will interview Department personnel and allied stakeholders, along with an in-depth documentation review, to analyze each headquarters function in the Fire Department.
 - The Consultant will further review Departmental documents and data measurement records from the Fire Department to enable an in-depth understanding of current division or bureau staffing, workloads, costs, and needs.
 - The Consultant will review the City’s growth information, transportation issues, water distribution systems, demographic, and geographic factors, and project future expectations on the Fire Department’s support services.

- Citygate will evaluate Department parking, storage, and training facilities.
- Citygate will use focused interviews of City Hall and Department members to compare the records-based review with the perceptions of the actual workforce.
- Citygate will assess current and potential shared asset opportunities with the Police Department.
- The Consultant will issue SWOT questionnaires (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities & Threats) to gain feedback on specific program areas.
- Once the headquarters function review is complete, Citygate will then combine the administrative performance capacities with the field deployment review to build integrated findings, recommendations, and implementation costs.

Meetings

There will be one, one-day on-site trip in this task to conduct the interviews for the headquarters functions review.

Task 4: Conduct a Mid-Project Review

- ◆ Conduct mid-project review separately with Fire Chief and City executive management.
- The Consultant will conduct a mid-project review before writing the Draft Master Plan. The purpose of this review is to meet with the client and principal staff to review the conclusions and tentative recommendations coming out of the study. This will also be an opportunity for the Department and consultants to perform fact-checks and make any mid-course corrections before additional work occurs.
- The Citygate team will brief the Fire Department executive leadership team on site regarding our working opinions using PowerPoint, geographic mapping, and incident statistics.

Meetings

There will be a half-day of on-site meetings to review the project's initial findings with the Department's leadership team. Citygate will utilize a short PowerPoint presentation to discuss the highlights of the study to date.

Task 5: Forecast Resource Needs; Conduct Final Service Delivery Models and Prepare Draft Master Plan

◆ In this task, the entire Citygate team will prepare a comprehensive Draft Fire Department Master Plan, with an implementation component. Several volumes may be used for this plan to illustrate maps or statistics. In these volumes, the Consultant will:

- Summarize the strengths of the Department and opportunities for improvement.
- Present a review of how Citygate's approach and analyses were conducted.
- Describe major findings by Departmental service delivery area.
- Present an explanation of identified improvements and the Consultant's recommendations for their resolution to improve operations.
- Recommendations will include opportunities for improving elements such as ISO ratings and CFAI accreditation.
- Describe a methodology for monitoring implementation status.
- Compare City of Corona Fire Department performance and data with other rapidly growing public safety providers.

◆ Upon completion of the Fire Department Master Plan, an electronic version in Microsoft Word will be sent to the Department project managers for comments using the "track changes" and "insert comments" tools in Word. Citygate's normal practice is to review a draft of the report with management personnel to ensure that the factual basis for the Consultant's recommendations is correct and to allow time for a thorough review. In addition, Citygate will take time to discuss any areas that require further clarification or amplification. It is during this time that understandings beyond the written text can be communicated.

Meetings

The Consultant will schedule a teleconference meeting with the Department's leadership to discuss and fact check the Draft Fire Department Master Plan, answer any questions, and agree on elements for the Final Fire Department Master Plan.

Task 6: Prepare and Deliver the Final Fire Department Master Plan with Executive Summary, Recommendations and Costs

◆ The process of Final Fire Department Master Plan preparation is an important one. Implicit in this process is the need for a sound understanding of how the Consultant's review was conducted, what issues were identified, why the Consultant made specific recommendations, and how implementation should be accomplished.

- ◆ Prepare Fire Department Master Plan and oral presentations.
 - Based on the results of the Consultant's draft review process, Citygate will prepare a Final, comprehensive Fire Department Master Plan to be delivered to the City Council and Fire Department. Citygate will make an oral presentation using PowerPoint to the Department leadership team, City Council, and other interested parties as directed.

Meetings

There will be one on-site meeting to make an oral presentation of the Final Fire assessment and updated Master Plan, with implementation components, to the City Council or a group of the Department's choosing.

EXHIBIT “B” SCHEDULE OF SERVICES

Consultant shall complete the Services within the Term of this Agreement, and shall meet any other established schedules and deadlines.

Work Plan Timeline

Task	Month 1	Month 2	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5	Month 6
1: Initiate and Manage Project						
2: Deployment Review						
3: Department Review						
4: Mid-Project Review						
5: Draft Master Plan						
6: Final Master Plan						

● On-site meeting

Deliverable Schedule

- ◆ Citygate will present a short Mid-Project Review of its preliminary findings and recommendations in PowerPoint format at the conclusion of Task 4.
- ◆ Citygate will provide its completed Draft Fire Department Master Plan at the conclusion of Task 5.
- ◆ Citygate will present its completed Final Draft Fire Department Master Plan at the conclusion of Task 6, including a PowerPoint presentation.

Project Site Visit Schedule

The following is the consultants schedule of on-site visits/meetings:

Task 1:

- ◆ One, one-day on-site trip during this task to kick-off the project, establish relationships, conduct stakeholder interviews, and set the information gathering into agreement and motion.

Task 3:

- ◆ One, one-day on-site trip in this task to conduct the interviews for the Fire Department headquarters functions review. This could be combined with the Task 1 on-site visits if the City desires.

Task 4:

- ◆ One, partial-day site visit to conduct the Mid-Project Review.

Task 6:

- ◆ One, partial-day on-site meeting to make an oral presentation of the Final Fire Department Master Plan, with implementation components, to the City Council or a group of the Department's choosing.

Deliverables

Mid-Project Review

Citygate's short Mid-Project review document will be presented on-site in PowerPoint format and will include Citygate's preliminary findings and recommendations.

Fire Department Master Plan

Citygate's Fire Department Master Plan will include:

1. An analysis of the efficiency of the current deployment scheme of resources, fire units, and fire station locations.
2. An analysis of the Department's ability to meet the listed standards.
3. If required, recommendations for changes in deployment methods to meet the current needs of the Department and to optimize service delivery.
4. A comprehensive analysis of current Department services and staffing in the support bureau areas of fire suppression, emergency medical, paramedic ambulance transport, technical and heavy rescue, fire prevention, and public education, as well as communications, records, support services, and other specialty services.

5. A profile of current fire and emergency management operations which addresses public safety approach, deployment, staffing, financing, and responsibilities.
6. The analysis will be combined with a forecast of future demands into a multi-year staffing and services plan for the Department.
7. Provision of supporting data and rationale for all recommendations.
8. Provision of supporting statistics and other visual data to fully illustrate the current situation and consultant recommendations. This information shall be provided in both hard copy format and computerized format with accompanying Microsoft PowerPoint presentation.

Final Report Presentation

Citygate's on-site Final Report presentation document will be presented on site in PowerPoint format and will include the most salient points of Citygate's Fire Department Master Plan.

EXHIBIT “C” COMPENSATION

Consultant shall receive compensation, including authorized reimbursements, for all Services rendered under this Agreement at the rates and terms set forth in Exhibit “C”.

Task	Project Team Hours	Consulting Fees of Project Team	Reimbursable Expenses	GIS Data	Administration (5% of Hourly Fees)	Total Citygate Project Amount
Task 1	29	\$5,960	\$1,471	\$0	\$298	<u>\$7,729</u>
Task 2	115	\$23,505	\$0	\$1,200	\$1,175	<u>\$24,680</u>
Task 3	54	\$10,290	\$2,327	\$0	\$515	<u>\$13,132</u>
Task 4	27	\$5,085	\$1,321	\$0	\$254	<u>\$6,661</u>
Task 5	70	\$12,385	\$0	\$0	\$619	<u>\$13,004</u>
Task 6	40	\$7,195	\$1,121	\$0	\$360	<u>\$8,676</u>
Total Core Project	335	\$64,420	\$6,242	\$1,200	\$3,221	<u>\$75,083</u>
<i>Optional Traffic Congestion Modeling</i>	22	\$4,800	\$0	\$8,950*	\$240	<u>\$13,990</u>
Total with Traffic Congestion Enhancement	357	\$69,220	\$6,242	\$8,950	\$3,461	<u>\$87,873</u>

* \$8,950 for traffic congestion data is in lieu of the \$1,200 for base GIS data in Task 2.

Evaluation Score Sheet FOR RFP 19-002MS
CITY OF CORONA FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES

Name of Evaluator
Evaluator 1
Enter your Name in the space above
EVALUATORS ENTER YOUR SCORES IN THE GREY BOXES

Evaluation Category								
1	Work Plan/Work Organization	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Project Approach	10	High (9-10) Average (7-8) Low (0-6)	8	4	8	9	9
	Work Plan	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	9	7	9	10	12
	Project Schedule	11	High (10-11) Average (8-9) Low (0-7)	10	7	10	10	11
	Deliverables	7	High (6-7) Average (5) Low (0-4)	6	4	6	6	6
Total Category Score				33	22	33	35	38

Evaluation Category								
2	Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience)	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Consultant Information Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	11	11	7
	Consultant Experience Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	11	11	10
	Disclosures	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	4	5	4	3
	Team Structure	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	4	5	5	4
	Sample Work and References	4	High (3-4) Average (2) Low (0-1)	3	2	3	3	2
Total Category Score				35	26	35	34	26

Evaluation Category								
3	Completeness and Timeliness of Response	Max Points (5)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Completeness of Response in Accordance with RFP Instructions	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	2	2	2	2	2
	Exceptions to or Deviations from the RFP Requirements	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	2	2	2	2	2
	Inclusion of required licenses and certifications of the firm and the key personnel performing the project	1	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Category Score				9	9	9	9	9

Evaluation Category								
4	Reasonableness of Cost and Price	Max Points (15)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Reasonableness of the individual firm-fixed prices and/or hourly rates, and competitiveness of quoted firm fixed prices with other proposals received	8	High (7-8) Average (5-6) Low (0-4)	6	7	6	7	7
	Adequacy of the data in support of figures quoted	5	High (4-5) Average (3) Low (0-2)	2	2	2	2	2
	Rationale on which prices are quoted	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	0	0	0	0	1
Total Category Score				8	9	8	9	10

Total Score

85	66	85	87	83
----	----	----	----	----

Evaluation Score Sheet FOR RFP 19-002MS
CITY OF CORONA FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES

Name of Evaluator
Evaluator 2
Enter your Name in the space above
EVALUATORS ENTER YOUR SCORES IN THE GREY BOXES

Evaluation Category								
1	Work Plan/Work Organization	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Project Approach	10	High (9-10) Average (7-8) Low (0-6)	8	7	8	7	7
	Work Plan	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	10	9	10
	Project Schedule	11	High (10-11) Average (8-9) Low (0-7)	9	9	9	9	9
	Deliverables	7	High (6-7) Average (5) Low (0-4)	5	5	5	5	5
Total Category Score				33	29	32	30	31

Evaluation Category								
2	Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience)	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Consultant Information Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	10	7	10	8	9
	Consultant Experience Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	10	8	10	8	9
	Disclosures	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
	Team Structure	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	4	5	4	4
	Sample Work and References	4	High (3-4) Average (2) Low (0-1)	3	2	3	2	2
Total Category Score				32	25	32	26	28

Evaluation Category								
3	Completeness and Timeliness of Response	Max Points (5)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Completeness of Response in Accordance with RFP Instructions	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	2	1	2	1	2
	Exceptions to or Deviations from the RFP Requirements	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
	Inclusion of required licenses and certifications of the firm and the key personnel performing the project	1	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
Total Category Score				7	6	7	6	7

Evaluation Category								
4	Reasonableness of Cost and Price	Max Points (15)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Reasonableness of the individual firm-fixed prices and/or hourly rates, and competitiveness of quoted firm fixed prices with other proposals received	8	High (7-8) Average (5-6) Low (0-4)	5	7	5	8	7
	Adequacy of the data in support of figures quoted	5	High (4-5) Average (3) Low (0-2)	3	3	3	3	3
	Rationale on which prices are quoted	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
Total Category Score				9	11	9	12	11

Total Score	81	71	80	74	77
-------------	----	----	----	----	----

Evaluation Score Sheet FOR RFP 19-002MS
CITY OF CORONA FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES

Name of Evaluator
Evaluator 3
Enter your Name in the space above
EVALUATORS ENTER YOUR SCORES IN THE GREY BOXES

Evaluation Category								
1	Work Plan/Work Organization	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Project Approach	10	High (9-10) Average (7-8) Low (0-6)	9	6	9	9	9
	Work Plan	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	10	10	11
	Project Schedule	11	High (10-11) Average (8-9) Low (0-7)	10	7	10	10	10
	Deliverables	7	High (6-7) Average (5) Low (0-4)	6	4	6	6	6
Total Category Score				36	25	35	35	36

Evaluation Category								
2	Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience)	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Consultant Information Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	11	10	7
	Consultant Experience Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	10	10	8
	Disclosures	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	4	5	5	3
	Team Structure	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	3	5	5	5
	Sample Work and References	4	High (3-4) Average (2) Low (0-1)	4	2	4	3	3
Total Category Score				36	25	35	33	26

Evaluation Category								
3	Completeness and Timeliness of Response	Max Points (5)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Completeness of Response in Accordance with RFP Instructions	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	0
	Exceptions to or Deviations from the RFP Requirements	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	0
	Inclusion of required licenses and certifications of the firm and the key personnel performing the project	1	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
Total Category Score				6	6	6	6	4

Evaluation Category								
4	Reasonableness of Cost and Price	Max Points (15)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Reasonableness of the individual firm-fixed prices and/or hourly rates, and competitiveness of quoted firm fixed prices with other proposals received	8	High (7-8) Average (5-6) Low (0-4)	6	7	5	7	6
	Adequacy of the data in support of figures quoted	5	High (4-5) Average (3) Low (0-2)	3	3	2	4	2
	Rationale on which prices are quoted	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
Total Category Score				10	11	8	12	9

Total Score	88	67	84	86	75
-------------	----	----	----	----	----

Evaluation Score Sheet FOR RFP 19-002MS
CITY OF CORONA FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES

Name of Evaluator
Evaluator 4
Enter your Name in the space above
EVALUATORS ENTER YOUR SCORES IN THE GREY BOXES

Evaluation Category								
1	Work Plan/Work Organization	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Project Approach	10	High (9-10) Average (7-8) Low (0-6)	8	7	8	7	7
	Work Plan	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	9	9	10	8	8
	Project Schedule	11	High (10-11) Average (8-9) Low (0-7)	9	8	9	8	8
	Deliverables	7	High (6-7) Average (5) Low (0-4)	6	5	6	5	6
Total Category Score				32	29	33	28	29

Evaluation Category								
2	Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience)	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Consultant Information Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	10	9	10	9	7
	Consultant Experience Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	9	11	8	8
	Disclosures	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
	Team Structure	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	3	4	4	3
	Sample Work and References	4	High (3-4) Average (2) Low (0-1)	2	2	2	2	2
Total Category Score				31	27	31	27	24

Evaluation Category								
3	Completeness and Timeliness of Response	Max Points (5)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Completeness of Response in Accordance with RFP Instructions	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
	Exceptions to or Deviations from the RFP Requirements	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
	Inclusion of required licenses and certifications of the firm and the key personnel performing the project	1	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
Total Category Score				6	6	6	6	6

Evaluation Category								
4	Reasonableness of Cost and Price	Max Points (15)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Reasonableness of the individual firm-fixed prices and/or hourly rates, and competitiveness of quoted firm fixed prices with other proposals received	8	High (7-8) Average (5-6) Low (0-4)	5	7	5	7	6
	Adequacy of the data in support of figures quoted	5	High (4-5) Average (3) Low (0-2)	3	3	3	3	2
	Rationale on which prices are quoted	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
Total Category Score				9	11	9	11	9

Total Score	78	73	79	72	68
-------------	----	----	----	----	----

Evaluation Score Sheet FOR RFP 19-002MS
CITY OF CORONA FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES

Name of Evaluator
Evaluator 5
Enter your Name in the space above
EVALUATORS ENTER YOUR SCORES IN THE GREY BOXES

Evaluation Category								
1	Work Plan/Work Organization	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Project Approach	10	High (9-10) Average (7-8) Low (0-6)	8	7	7	7	7
	Work Plan	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	9	10	8	8
	Project Schedule	11	High (10-11) Average (8-9) Low (0-7)	10	10	10	10	10
	Deliverables	7	High (6-7) Average (5) Low (0-4)	6	5	5	5	5
Total Category Score				35	31	32	30	30

Evaluation Category								
2	Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience)	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Consultant Information Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	10	8	9
	Consultant Experience Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	10	8	9
	Disclosures	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
	Team Structure	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	4	5	4	4
	Sample Work and References	4	High (3-4) Average (2) Low (0-1)	4	2	3	2	2
Total Category Score				35	26	32	26	28

Evaluation Category								
3	Completeness and Timeliness of Response	Max Points (5)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Completeness of Response in Accordance with RFP Instructions	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	2	1	2	1	2
	Exceptions to or Deviations from the RFP Requirements	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
	Inclusion of required licenses and certifications of the firm and the key personnel performing the project	1	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
Total Category Score				7	6	7	6	7

Evaluation Category								
4	Reasonableness of Cost and Price	Max Points (15)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Reasonableness of the individual firm-fixed prices and/or hourly rates, and competitiveness of quoted firm fixed prices with other proposals received	8	High (7-8) Average (5-6) Low (0-4)	5	6	5	7	7
	Adequacy of the data in support of figures quoted	5	High (4-5) Average (3) Low (0-2)	3	3	3	3	3
	Rationale on which prices are quoted	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
Total Category Score				9	10	9	11	11

Total Score

86	73	80	73	76
----	----	----	----	----

Evaluation Score Sheet FOR RFP 19-002MS
CITY OF CORONA FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES

Name of Evaluator
Evaluator 6
Enter your Name in the space above
EVALUATORS ENTER YOUR SCORES IN THE GREY BOXES

Evaluation Category								
1	Work Plan/Work Organization	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Project Approach	10	High (9-10) Average (7-8) Low (0-6)	9	7	9	8	8
	Work Plan	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	11	10	10
	Project Schedule	11	High (10-11) Average (8-9) Low (0-7)	9	10	10	9	10
	Deliverables	7	High (6-7) Average (5) Low (0-4)	6	5	6	5	6
Total Category Score				35	30	36	32	34

Evaluation Category								
2	Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience)	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Consultant Information Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	10	11	9	10
	Consultant Experience Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	7	11	9	10
	Disclosures	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	4	5	3	4
	Team Structure	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	4	5	4	4
	Sample Work and References	4	High (3-4) Average (2) Low (0-1)	4	2	4	3	3
Total Category Score				36	27	36	28	31

Evaluation Category								
3	Completeness and Timeliness of Response	Max Points (5)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Completeness of Response in Accordance with RFP Instructions	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	2	2	2	1	2
	Exceptions to or Deviations from the RFP Requirements	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	2	2	1	2
	Inclusion of required licenses and certifications of the firm and the key personnel performing the project	1	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	1	1	1	1	1
Total Category Score				4	5	5	3	5

Evaluation Category								
4	Reasonableness of Cost and Price	Max Points (15)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Reasonableness of the individual firm-fixed prices and/or hourly rates, and competitiveness of quoted firm fixed prices with other proposals received	8	High (7-8) Average (5-6) Low (0-4)	6	5	5	5	6
	Adequacy of the data in support of figures quoted	5	High (4-5) Average (3) Low (0-2)	4	4	3	3	4
	Rationale on which prices are quoted	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	2	2	2	2	2
Total Category Score				12	11	10	10	12

Total Score

87	73	87	73	82
----	----	----	----	----

Evaluation Score Sheet FOR RFP 19-002MS
CITY OF CORONA FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES

Name of Evaluator
Evaluator 7
Enter your Name in the space above
EVALUATORS ENTER YOUR SCORES IN THE GREY BOXES

Evaluation Category								
1	Work Plan/Work Organization	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Project Approach	10	High (9-10) Average (7-8) Low (0-6)	9	7	10	8	7
	Work Plan	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	10	9	10
	Project Schedule	11	High (10-11) Average (8-9) Low (0-7)	8	8	8	8	8
	Deliverables	7	High (6-7) Average (5) Low (0-4)	5	5	6	5	5
Total Category Score				33	28	34	30	30

Evaluation Category								
2	Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience)	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Consultant Information Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	10	7	10	8	9
	Consultant Experience Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	10	8	10	8	10
	Disclosures	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
	Team Structure	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	4	5	4	5
	Sample Work and References	4	High (3-4) Average (2) Low (0-1)	3	2	4	2	2
Total Category Score				32	25	33	26	30

Evaluation Category								
3	Completeness and Timeliness of Response	Max Points (5)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Completeness of Response in Accordance with RFP Instructions	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	2	1	2	1	1
	Exceptions to or Deviations from the RFP Requirements	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
	Inclusion of required licenses and certifications of the firm and the key personnel performing the project	1	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
Total Category Score				7	6	7	6	6

Evaluation Category								
4	Reasonableness of Cost and Price	Max Points (15)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Reasonableness of the individual firm-fixed prices and/or hourly rates, and competitiveness of quoted firm fixed prices with other proposals received	8	High (7-8) Average (5-6) Low (0-4)	5	7	6	8	7
	Adequacy of the data in support of figures quoted	5	High (4-5) Average (3) Low (0-2)	3	3	3	3	3
	Rationale on which prices are quoted	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
Total Category Score				9	11	10	12	11

Total Score	81	70	84	74	77
-------------	----	----	----	----	----

Evaluation Score Sheet FOR RFP 19-002MS
CITY OF CORONA FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE CONSULTING SERVICES

Name of Evaluator
Summary
Enter your Name in the space above
EVALUATORS ENTER YOUR SCORES IN THE GREY BOXES

Evaluation Category								
1	Work Plan/Work Organization	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Project Approach	10	High (9-10) Average (7-8) Low (0-6)	8	6	8	8	8
	Work Plan	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	10	8	10	9	10
	Project Schedule	11	High (10-11) Average (8-9) Low (0-7)	9	8	9	9	9
	Deliverables	7	High (6-7) Average (5) Low (0-4)	6	5	6	5	6
Total Category Score				34	28	34	31	33

Evaluation Category								
2	Qualifications of Company and Personnel (Experience)	Max Points (40)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Consultant Information Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	10	9	8
	Consultant Experience Form	12	High (11-12) Average (8-10) Low (0-7)	11	8	10	9	9
	Disclosures	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
	Team Structure	6	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	5	4	5	4	4
	Sample Work and References	4	High (3-4) Average (2) Low (0-1)	3	2	3	2	2
Total Category Score				34	26	33	29	28

Evaluation Category								
3	Completeness and Timeliness of Response	Max Points (5)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Completeness of Response in Accordance with RFP Instructions	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	2	1	2	1	1
	Exceptions to or Deviations from the RFP Requirements	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
	Inclusion of required licenses and certifications of the firm and the key personnel performing the project	1	High (5-6) Average (4) Low (0-3)	4	4	4	4	4
Total Category Score				7	6	7	6	6

Evaluation Category								
4	Reasonableness of Cost and Price	Max Points (15)	Scoring Factors	Citygate	Matrix	CPSM	Fitch & Associates	ESCI
	Reasonableness of the individual firm-fixed prices and/or hourly rates, and competitiveness of quoted firm fixed prices with other proposals received	8	High (7-8) Average (5-6) Low (0-4)	5	7	5	7	7
	Adequacy of the data in support of figures quoted	5	High (4-5) Average (3) Low (0-2)	3	3	3	3	3
	Rationale on which prices are quoted	2	High (2) Average (1) Low (0)	1	1	1	1	1
Total Category Score				9	11	9	11	10

Total Score

84	70	83	77	77
----	----	----	----	----



RFP SUMMARY

Administrative Services Department - Purchasing Division

DATE: January 25, 2019

SUBJECT: RFP 19-002MS Proposer List

RFP (Project) No.: RFP 19-002MS

RFP Name: City of Corona Fire Department Operational Performance Consulting Services

RFP Open Date: November 29, 2018

RFP Close Date: January 15, 2019; 10:00 a.m.

Newspaper Advertisement Date: December 5, 2019

No. of Vendors solicited: 32

No. of Responses received: 5

Company	City/State	Evaluation Score
Citygate Associates, LLC.	Folsom, CA	84
Center for Public Safety Management	Washington, DC	83
Emergency Services Consulting International	Wilsonville, OR	77
Fitch & Associates	Platte City, MO	77
Matrix Consulting Group	Mountain View, CA	70

The Proposals are currently under review and this summary is provided as a matter of information only. The highest-ranking company's contract award is tentative upon final approval of the City's authorized contracting party.