## Terri Manuel

From:

Brian Skvarca <bskvarca@uniwebinc.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, October 03, 2018 9:59 AM

To:

Terri Manuel

Subject: Arantine Hills

Hello Terri My Name is Brian Skvarca and I am a home owner adjacent to the Arantine hills project. I am located just south of the project. We had spoken a few time in the past. I received a public notice in the mail and as you can imagine I have a few questions and concerns. First it is somewhat confusing what the proposal is without some projected map illustrating the changes. As much as most people are concerned with too much medium density homes vs. low and the associated decline in overall property values ton adjacent ranch style homes and my main concern is that part of this parcel is located south of the wash on the bluff. I remember specifically asking if this project was part of or potentially part of at a later time and I was told NO. so I am curios how this land is now being asked to be included. It is my understanding the parcel is zoned R-A-5 meaning Residential/agriculture is this correct or did it change to just agriculture.

If you have any more information regarding this proposal can you share this with me.

Thank you. I am available by email or cell for your convenience.

**Brian Skvarca** | Vice President – Manufacturing/Facilities Operation 222 S. Promenade Ave | Corona, Ca 92879 Phone (800) 486-4932 | Fax (951) 279-8986 Cell (951) 532-0263 bskvarca@uniwebinc.com

## Terri Manuel

From:

Jannlee Watson < jannlee.watson@ca.rr.com>

Sent:

Monday, October 08, 2018 12:44 PM

To: Subject: Terri Manuel Public hearing ...

Attachments:

42165837\_2181846091835055\_1456167472972431360\_n.jpg

## Hello, Terri -

I hope you can help me with a couple of questions regarding the Arantine Hills/Bedford public hearing on the agenda for the Oct. 22 Planning & Housing Commission agenda.

- 1. I see that this 159.2-acre parcel is still owned by the McMillans. Is the New Home Company purchasing it?
- 2. Is this correct -- While the public hearing looks to change the zoning on 31.2 acres, the remaining 127.35 acres (the two plateaus), would remain Agriculture zoning?
- 3. The NOP in 2006 (attached), showed these two plateaus included in the original Arantine Hills plan. Are there intentions by the owner/developer (either verbal or in writing), to subdivide this acreage at a later date. Nelson Nelson at a parks meeting last month, indicated that may be the case.

Thank you ...

Jannlee Watson