and recycled water from the Groundwater Replenishment System is equal to or better than the water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan for the Santa Ana Region for that management zone.

E. The Basin Monitoring Program Task Force (**Task Force**) performs the necessary calculations to estimate ambient TDS and TIN concentrations in each groundwater management zone in the Santa Ana River watershed every three years based on field data. The Task Force does not perform the modeling of future groundwater quality conditions that is required by paragraph 4 of the Cooperative Agreement. The Task Force's work to estimate ambient TDS and nitrate TIN is conducted independently of the provisions of paragraph 4 of the Cooperative Agreement.

F. The Task Force also is responsible for updating the Santa Ana River Wasteload Allocation Model every ten years to estimate TDS and TIN concentrations in the Santa Ana River and its tributaries upstream of Prado Dam and in Reach 2 of the Santa Ana River in Orange County, which estimates also include a projection of surface water quality in these areas for twenty years into the future.

G. There is some overlap between the modeling and analysis performed by the Task Force, as described in Recitals E and F above, and the requirements for water quality monitoring and modeling that were established in paragraph 4 of the Cooperative Agreement.

H. The Parties desire to consolidate modeling and analysis to the greatest extent possible, consistent with engaging in the best scientific and engineering analysis possible and consistent with fully protecting the groundwater basins of the Santa Ana River Watershed in the manner described in the Santa Ana River Basin Plan.

In certain groundwater management zones, the Regional Board has approved "maximum benefit" programs (Maximum Benefit Programs) to allow the discharge of recycled water with quality worse than the antidegradation water quality objective, provided that such discharges are part of a comprehensive water management program that will not result in long-term harm to the groundwater basin or downstream beneficial uses. Such Maximum Benefit Programs include a series of commitments by the agencies involved, including but not limited to: the construction of a desalter for recycled water or groundwater, upgrading a wastewater treatment plant, annual monitoring and reporting of surface water and groundwater quality, and the periodic modeling projection of groundwater quality.

J. Many of the Parties are also working together to combine existing computer groundwater models, including but not limited to the models that were identified in paragraph 5(b) of the Cooperative Agreement, into a single model that will be capable of modeling groundwater flows from the Yucaipa area in the upper portion of the Santa Ana River watershed to Prado Dam. This model, termed the **Integrated Groundwater Flow**Model, will be able to model both surface water and groundwater flows. The Integrated

Groundwater Flow Model may, in the future, be enhanced so that it is also capable of modeling TDS and TIN and also could, in the future, be extended up the Temescal Wash. The Integrated Groundwater Flow Model, as augmented by TDS and TIN modeling, whether or not it is extended up Temescal Wash, is known as the Integrated Flow and Quality Model (**IFQM**).

K. As indicated in Recital H above, the Parties wish to avoid any duplicative modeling and also wish to avoid any duplication of the work required pursuant to the Maximum Benefit Programs with work that is required under the Cooperative Agreement. The Parties believe that the development and use of the IFQM would accomplish these modeling goals, at a potentially lower cost, for all areas upstream of Prado Dam.

L. The Parties wish to work with the Task Force to integrate the water quality modeling efforts for the Wasteload Allocation and the Cooperative Agreement described above so as to: (i) use the best available science in making decisions, (ii) reduce any duplicative efforts and inefficiencies, and (iii) be able to make decisions, to the extent feasible, based on a consensus of all Parties.

M. Since the water quality of water imported from the SWP is currently equal to or better than the water quality objectives for TDS and nitrate-nitrogen (Salinity objectives hereafter) set forth in the Basin Plan for the Santa Ana Region for management zones where SWP is the sole imported water recharged, the Parties seek to reduce the frequency of reporting and modeling requirements in the Cooperative Agreement for those management areas.

N. For management zones where imported water is blended with other sources of water (such as recycled water) and such blended water has a water quality equal to or better than the water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan for the Santa Ana Region, the Parties seek to reduce the frequency of reporting and modeling requirements in the Cooperative Agreement for those management areas, provided that the water blended with imported water is not a source of water already accounted for in the salt management program for the management zone.

O. The Parties wish to memorialize their mutual agreements in the form of this First Amendment to the Cooperative Agreement.

# 128 Agreements

129130

#### 1. Relationship to Cooperative Agreement

131 132

133

134

Save as expressly amended by this First Amendment, all provisions of the Cooperative Agreement shall continue in full force and effect. In the event of any express inconsistency between the terms of this First Amendment and the Cooperative Agreement, the provisions of this First Amendment shall control.

135 136 137

## 2. Exclusion of Colorado River Water Deliveries

138139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

The Parties agree that no provision of this First Amendment shall apply to the monitoring, reporting or modeling performed by any Party with regard to deliveries or use of water from the Colorado River, unless there are other sources of recharge water available for blending with Colorado River water such that blend of imported water and other recharge sources results in concentrations that are better than the water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan. Deliveries or use of Colorado River water for imported water recharge shall be subject to the monitoring, reporting and modeling requirements of the Cooperative Agreement prior to this First Amendment, unless the blend of imported water and other recharge sources results in concentrations that are better than the water quality objectives set forth in the Basin Plan, in which case the requirements of paragraph 6 would apply. To be an acceptable source of blend water, the water blended with imported water cannot be a source of water already accounted for in the salt management program for the management zone. Water from the Colorado River that is blended with another source may not be used for recharge if it exceeds the Salinity Objectives in the Basin Plan for the Santa Ana Region, unless the Recharging Party implements an offset program approved by the Regional Board. The Parties may, but need not, choose to amend the timing or the frequency of reporting of such deliveries, in the future, to better conform with monitoring and reporting schedules adopted under this First Amendment.

155156157

#### 3. *Use of the IFQM*

158159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

The Parties agree that the IFQM shall be deemed to be an accepted model pursuant to paragraph 5(b) of the Cooperative Agreement, *provided that* the IFQM is subjected to independent peer review and will be modified to address any issues identified by that peer review, and *provided further that* it can be demonstrated with reasonable certainty that the relative error of the modified IFQM's calibration for the groundwater management zone(s) in question for a reasonable base period is  $\pm 10\%$ , or less, when compared with existing groundwater data. The Parties that intend to use the IFQM agree that they will fund any and all enhancements that may be needed to develop the IFQM and to use it for modeling purposes. The Task Force shall have no obligation to contribute to such efforts.

167 168

# 4. Use of Modeling and Reporting by a Maximum Benefit Programs

Any Party that has entered into an agreement with the Regional Board to implement a Maximum Benefit Program shall be exempt from the reporting requirements of paragraph 4 of the Cooperative Agreement so long as they are subject to the reporting requirements of their Maximum Benefit Program.

## 5. *Use of Modeling and Reporting by the Task Force*

The Parties operating in groundwater basins that do not have a Maximum Benefit Program may contract with the Task Force (or a consultant retained by the Task Force) to satisfy the monitoring, reporting and modeling requirements of paragraph 4 of the Cooperative Agreement by means of the modeling and reporting efforts and schedule of the Task Force described in Recitals E and F above and the use of the IFQM (upon approval by the Parties) provided that: (i) the Party desiring to utilize the Task Force modeling is a member in good standing of the Task Force, and (ii) the modeling prepared by the Task Force meets the requirements of this First Amendment and the Cooperative Agreement.

## 6. Monitoring and Modeling Schedule for Recharge Using SWP Water

The monitoring and reporting requirement established by paragraph 4 of the Cooperative Agreement is hereby modified to require the Parties using water imported solely from the SWP for recharge to submit reports every five years, beginning on July 18, 2021. The modeling projection requirement established by paragraph 4.c of the Cooperative Agreement is hereby modified to require the twenty-year projections to be completed every ten years beginning on July 18, 2020. In the unlikely event that the concentrations of SWP increase such that they are greater than the Salinity Objectives set forth in the Basin Plan for the Santa Ana Region, the Parties in the management zones where SWP is the sole water used for recharged shall notify the Regional Board within 48 hours and shall revert back to and adhere to the monitoring, reporting, and modeling requirements in the Cooperative Agreement as contained in the Cooperative Agreement prior to this First Amendment.

# 7. Monitoring and Reporting Requirement for Agency Accounting for Blending of Imported Water

In the case where a recharging agency desires to account for blending imported water with other sources of recharge water such that the blend of imported water and other recharge sources results in concentrations that are better than the Salinity Objectives set forth in the Basin Plan, the monitoring and reporting requirement established by paragraph 4 of the Cooperative Agreement is hereby modified to require the Parties using such blended water for recharge to submit reports every five years, beginning on July 18, 2021. The modeling projection requirement established by paragraph 4.c of the Cooperative Agreement is hereby modified to require the twenty-year projections to be completed every ten years beginning on July 18, 2020. the recharging agency shall monitor and report the water quality of the imported water and the

213 214	other sources of recharge water that are relied upon for blending. The Parties using such blended water for recharge shall notify the Regional Board if the blended water concentrations exceed		
215		Plan for the Santa Ana Region within 48 hours and	
216		blended water for recharge, unless the Recharging Party	
217	implements an offset program approved by		
218			
219			
220			
221		CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER	
222		QUALITY CONTROL BOARD	
223			
224			
225		BY:	
226		Title:	
227	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
228	11110 122 122 10 1011.11		
229			
230	By:		
231	- y		
232		CITY OF CORONA	
233			
234			
235		BY:	
236		Title:	
237	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
238			
239			
240	By:		
241	, <u> </u>		
242		CITY OF RIVERSIDE	
243			
244			
245		BY:	
246		Title:	
247	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
248			
249			
250	By:		
251	<i>,</i>		
252			
253			
254			
255			
256			

257		EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER
258		DISTRICT
259		
260		DV
261		BY:
262	ADDROVED AG TO FORM	Title:
263	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
264		
265	D	
266	By:	
267		
268		ELCINODE VALLEY MUNICIDAL
269		ELSINORE VALLEY MUNICIPAL
270		WATER DISTRICT
271		
272		DW.
273		BY:Title:
274	ADDDOVED AS TO FORM.	rue:
275	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
276		
<ul><li>277</li><li>278</li></ul>	Ву:	
279	Бу	
280 281		ORANGE COUNTY WATER
282		DISTRICT
283		DISTRICT
284		
285		BY:
286		BY:Title:
287	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	Title.
288	ATTROVED AS TO PORM.	
289		
290	By:	
291	Бу.	
292		
293		
294		
295		
296		
297		
298		
299		
300		

301 302		SAN BERNARDINO VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
303 304		
305		BY:
306 307	ADDDOVED AS TO CODM.	Title:
308	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
309		
310	Ву:	
311	<i>,</i>	
312		SAN GORGONIO PASS
313		WATER AGENCY
314		
315 316		BY:
317		BY:
318	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	Title.
319	INTROVED TO TOTAL	
320		
321	By:	
322	•	
323		
324		WESTERN MUNICIPAL
325		WATER DISTRICT
326		
327 328		BY:
329		BY: Title:
330	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	Title.
331	1011011011111	
332		
333	Ву:	
334		
335		
336		
337 338		
339		
340		
341		
342		
343		
344		

345		CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO
346		MUNICIPAL WATER DEPARTMENT
347		
348		
349		BY:
350		Title:
351	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
352		
353		
354	By:	